



Open Access Erratum

Erratum: Correction to Table 3 in Cross-validation of the Centre for Addiction and Mental Health Inventory of Gambling Situations (CAMH-IGS)

Nigel E. Turner, PhD^{1,2,3*}

Citation: Turner, N.E. (2024). Erratum: Correction to Table 3 in Cross-validation of the Centre for Addiction and Mental Health Inventory of Gambling Situations (CAMH-IGS). *Journal of Concurrent Disorders*, 6 (1), 125-126.

Founding Editor-in-Chief: Masood Zangeneh, Ph.D.

Editor: Fayez Mahamid, Ph.D.

Received: 05/30/2022

Accepted: 06/07/2023

Published (Online First): 06/24/2023



Copyright: ©2024
Turner, N.E. Licensee
CDS Press, Toronto,
Canada. This article is an
open access article
distributed under the
terms and conditions of
the Creative Commons
Attribution (CC BY)
license
(<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)

¹Institute for Mental Health Policy Research and Campbell Family Mental Health Research Institute, Centre for Addiction and Mental Health, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

²Dalla Lana School of Public Health, University of Toronto, Ontario, Canada

³ORCID: [0000-0002-1035-2064](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1035-2064)

*Corresponding author: Dr. Nigel Turner, nigel_turner@camh.ca

In our paper, Cross-validation of the Centre for Addiction and Mental Health Inventory of Gambling Situations (CAMH-IGS), Turner et al., (2021), we made an error in Table 3. On line 2 of Table 3, it says drop 3, but it should read, drop 53. We apologise for this typo.

Turner, NE, Shi, J, van der Maas, M, Hagopian, S, Cook, S, & Elton-Marshall, T, (2021). Cross validation of the Centre for Addiction and Mental Health Inventory of Gambling Situations (CAMH-IGS). *Journal of Concurrent Disorders*, 3, 1-22.
<https://doi.org/10.54127/JDZS3581>

Original Source: https://cdspress.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/03/Nigel-Turner-et-al_AUG29_FINAL_SEP14.pdf

Revised Table 3: Confirmatory factor analysis results and re-specification search for the CAMH-IGS (corrected Table)

Step	Item	Parameter change	df	<i>Chi- square</i>	Delta Chi- square	ratio	RMR	GFI	NFI	NNFI	CFI
1	Baseline	--	1718	6666.4	--	3.88	0.056	0.80	0.80	0.83	0.84
2	drop 53 from NE	0.03	1719	6667.1	-0.7	3.88	0.056	0.80	0.80	0.83	0.84
3	add 54 to CO	0.716	1718	6426.3	240.8	3.74	0.055	0.81	0.81	0.84	0.85
4	drop 54 from NE	-0.02	1719	6426.6	-0.3	3.74	0.054	0.81	0.81	0.84	0.85
5	add 39 to WC	0.47	1718	6249.6	177.0	3.64	0.053	0.81	0.81	0.85	0.86
6	add 29 to SP	0.37	1717	6138.2	111.4	3.57	0.052	0.82	0.82	0.85	0.86
7	add residual correlation for 58 & 44	0.20	1716	6030.7	107.5	3.51	0.052	0.82	0.82	0.85	0.86
8	add 31 to SP	0.32	1715	5926.2	104.6	3.46	0.050	0.82	0.82	0.86	0.87

Note: df = Degrees of Freedom; RMR =Root Mean Residual; GFI = Goodness of fit index; NFI = Normed fit Index; NNFI = Non-normed fit Index; CFI = Comparative Fit Index